

PV PLANNER A Design And Analysis Tool For Solar Electric Systems

Updated User Manual May 2011



University of Delaware

The Center for Energy and Environmental Policy conducts interdisciplinary and collaborative research and supports graduate instruction in energy, environmental, and sustainable development policy. The Center serves as a University-wide forum for the discussion and dissemination of faculty, staff, and graduate student research in these areas. In addition to its research and instructional activities, the Center also provides technical assistance to community, state, federal and international agencies and nonprofit organizations. The Center is composed of an internationally diverse faculty, professional staff, and graduate student body with backgrounds in a variety of disciplines including political science, economics, sociology, geography, philosophy, urban planning, environmental studies, history, and engineering. Research programs currently organized in the Center include comparative energy and environmental policy, sustainable development, political economy of energy, environment and development, environmental justice, conservation and renewable energy options, integrated resource planning, and technology environment and society. Graduate areas of specialization in energy and environmental policy are supported at the master's and doctoral levels.

Mailing Address:

John Byrne Director Center for Energy and Environmental Policy University of Delaware Newark, DE 19716-7381

Email: jbbyrne@udel.edu Telephone: (302) 831-8405 Telefax: (302) 831-3098 Website: http://ceep.udel.edu

PV PLANNER A DESIGN AND Analysis Tool For Solar Electric Systems

Updated User Manual

May 2011

Research Team

John Byrne (Ph.D., Distinguished Professor of Energy and Climate Policy, Director of CEEP) Lado Kurdgelashvili (Ph.D., CEEP Policy Fellow) Jeremiah Couey (CEEP Ph.D. Candidate)



University of Delaware

Table of Contents

Introd	luction to PV Planner	1
1.	<i>PV Planner</i> : An Overview	1
2.	Background	2
PV Pla	anner Data Input Worksheets	7
3.	Case Information	9
4.	Application Type	9
5.	System Configuration	10
6.	System Costs	
7.	O&M Costs	
8.	Financial Inputs	15
9.	Policy	
10.	Load Data	
11.	Rate Data	20
12.	Results	22
13.	Cash Flow/Payback Yr	
14.	Power Dispatch	30
Examp	ple <i>PV Planner</i> Output	
Select	ted CEEP Solar Electricity Policy Publications: 1983-2006	

Introduction to PV Planner

The Center for Energy and Environmental Policy (CEEP) publishes this updated user guide for *PV Planner* to incorporate the improved user interface as well as expanded analytical features now available for this popular solar electricity analysis software. Updated from the previous 2006 *PV Planner* user guide (Byrne et al., 2006), this report reviews the nearly twodecade development process used to create this state of the art solar energy, finance, and policy analysis software. Below we offer an updated background of CEEP research informed by the application and successful utilization of *PV Planner* for evaluating photovoltaic opportunities worldwide, as well as provide a step-by-step data input process that will help *PV Planner* users assess the financial, economic and policy opportunities available for PV project development.

1. PV Planner: An Overview

CEEP has worked with the U.S. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and others for 17 years on the development of *PV Planner* to analyze the benefits of PV technology beyond its conventional of energy-supply value. *PV Planner* utilizes a vast quantity of data to model the physical, economic, financial and policy contexts specific to the area where the photovoltaic (PV) system is being installed. The software simulates the performance of a PV system operating in an energy supply-only mode (sometimes referred to as a non-dispatchable system as the energy produced by the device must be used immediately) or in a dispatchable mode (where because of the addition of storage, solar energy can be released when needed).

The software uses financial, economic and policy data from the area where the PV system is to be installed in order to analyze its financial feasibility. The performance of the system is reported using several metrics including net present value, payback period, benefit-cost ratio, cash flows and levelized costs.¹ Because the policy environment is constantly developing

¹ Levelized cost equals the present value of the capital and operating costs of an electric power plant over its projected economic life, converted to equal annual payments per unit of electricity generated during that lifetime (e.g., per kWh) (NREL, 1995: 47-51).

(particularly with the addition of new incentives to promote renewables), *PV Planner* is regularly upgraded to reflect new policy measures.

2. Background

For 25 years, the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy (CEEP) has investigated the technical and economic feasibility of using solar electric power (provided by commonly termed 'photovoltaic' (PV) technology or 'solar cells'). Its analysis has emphasized multi-service configurations for residential and commercial buildings. These configurations offer a combination of benefits that include the energy-only value (i.e., the system's ability to reduce grid-energy demand), capacity value (in the form of peak demand reduction through peak shaving – see Byrne and Hadjilambrinos, 1994; and Byrne et al, 1992, 1993a-c, 1994a-f, 1995, 1996a-d, 1997b, 1998, 2000, 2001b, 2004 and 2009), service value (for example, through the provision of emergency power during electrical outages – see Byrne et al, 1997a-b, 1998, 2000 and 2001b) and material replacement value (displacing building material – see Byrne et al, 2000 and 2001b). Additionally, CEEP has modeled social and environmental co-benefits from the use of solar-generated electricity (e.g., reduced air pollution and diminished vulnerability to fossil fuel price spikes - see Byrne et al, 1996, 1997a, 1999, 2001a, 2003, 2004, 2005a, 2006, 2006a, 2006b, 2007, 2009, 2010, 2010a and 2011; Byrne and Rich, 1983; Letendre et al, 1998; and Rickerson et al, 2005), including analyses of policy options to capture these co-benefits and the interconnected environmental benefits as a part of comprehensive climate action plans to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (Byrne et al, 2008, and 2010b).

CEEP has directly consulted with a range of industries, businesses, and public officials concerning options towards the purchase and installation of PV systems. Included with this work are projects in Delaware and Pennsylvania that in summation have committed to install nearly 30MWs.

Schematic representation of non-dispatchabl and dispatchable (i.e., with storage) configurations are presented below. Following this section, we guide users through the step-by-step process of entering the system parameters, costs, financial variables, and policy inputs into *PV Planner* for a comprehensive examination the solar-electric system potential.

2

The basic configuration of a grid-connected PV system consists of a PV array connected via power conditioning equipment to a building's distribution panel (Figure 1).



Figure 1. PV Installation without Storage

Under this configuration, PV operates as a conventional electricity technology, complementing the energy obtained from the grid. The net value from this configuration can be estimated using the following equation (Byrne et al, 1998, 2000 and 2001a):

 $V_{E} = [O_{PV} * P_{E}] - C_{PV} \quad [Equation 1]$ where, $V_{E} = Net \text{ economic Value of PV system}$ $O_{PV} = Building PV \text{ output (kWh)}$ $P_{E} = Utility \text{ energy charge (cents/kWh)}$ $C_{PV} = Capital \text{ and operating costs of the PV energy supply system.}$

 P_E and C_{PV} are discounted to reflect the time value of the benefits and costs of the system. Under this configuration, most PV systems tend not to be economically feasible as compared to conventional electricity supply options as the average cost of electricity can be in the levelized costs range of 7¢-14¢ per kWh (coal plants and combined cycle gas plants), whereas PV systems are about 13¢-20¢ per kWh (Byrne, 2010: 37).

In order to improve the economics of the PV system, its configuration can be changed to provide additional services. One of these is building electricity demand management, which requires the addition of modest amounts of storage to the PV array, allowing the system to operate as a dispatchable peak-shaving technology (Figure 2).



Figure 2. PV Installation with Storage

The addition of the storage facility provides benefits from the perspectives of both the PV user and electric utility (Byrne et al, 1996a-d, 1997b, 1998, 2000, 2001b and 2004; and Hoff et al, 2004).

Under this configuration, the following equation applies (Byrne et al, 2000):

 $V_{M} = [P_{D}(O_{PV} + O_{BAT}) + V_{E}] - C_{PV} [Equation 2]$

where,

 V_M = Demand management value of a PV peak-shaving system

O_{PV} = PV output at time of building peak demand (kW)

 O_{BAT} = Battery bank output (net of round trip losses) at time of building peak demand (kW) P_D = Utility demand (capacity) charge V_E = Value as defined in Equation [1] above

 C_{PV} = Capital and operating costs of the PV demand management system.

The O_{BAT} term represents the output of the battery bank at the time the building is experiencing its peak demand. It is a function of battery bank size and the number of peakshaving hours needed to maximize the reduction in the peak load of the building for a given PV array size.

The addition of storage to the PV system also provides the system with the capability to offer emergency power (EP) to a building (Byrne et al, 1996). EP could be used for emergency lighting or as backup power for computers. This addition of EP enhances the overall value of a PV system as its economic benefit can be expressed as the "avoided cost" associated with the purchase and operation of a conventional EP system. The EP system is usually in the form of an uninterrupted power supply (UPS) and the major assumption is that the user would have already identified the need for EP and purchased the necessary requirements such as an inverter and battery storage or the balance of system components similar for a peak shaving PV system. The only additional cost of using a PV system for EP would be the capital costs associated with the PV array (cost of modules and installation).

Accordingly a new equation can be derived that demonstrates the dual function of peak shaving with emergency power (Byrne et al, 1998, 2000 and 2001b):

 $V_{S} = [(B_{EP} - C_{EP}) + V_{M}] - \Delta C_{PV}$ [Equation 3]

where,

V_s = Energy services value of a PV- peak shaving and EP system

B_{EP} = Customer designated benefits of EP

 $C_{EP} = E_P$ system cost (equivalent to BOS cost of a dispatchable PV system)

 V_M = Demand management value of a PV peak shaving and EP system, as defined in Equation [2] above

 ΔC_{PV} = Additional PV system cost.

An additional purpose can be added to the PV system when it displaces conventional construction materials (hereinafter materials displacement benefit). In this case, the PV array serves as an architectural element containing both functional (electricity production) and

aesthetic (part of the rooftop or façade of the building) value (Byrne et al, 2001). The economic benefit of using PV to displace architectural materials can be expressed as the "avoided cost" of the material displaced by the area of the array. Thus, the effective capital cost of the PV system amounts to its cost minus the value of the displaced material. The underlying assumption for this purpose to be beneficial is that the material being displaced (e.g., polished stone, marble, aluminum) is more costly than the PV system (measured in area costs such as m² of roofing material). To determine the material displacement benefit requires adjusting PV system cost in Equation 3 (ΔC_{PV}) by an amount equal to the avoided cost of the displaced material.

Another contribution of a PV system as an energy service technology is the provision of environmental services through the avoided emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) in electricity generation. Growing concern about the effects of increasing levels of GHGs in the atmosphere has led to market-based and policy initiatives aimed at reducing their emissions from electricity generation. PV as a clean energy technology can play a role in mitigating GHG emissions by displacing some amount of electricity generation and also through its attribute as a renewable energy fuel source (see, e.g., Byrne et al, 1999, 2003, 2004, 2005a, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2010a & b, and 2011). Policies can create markets for selling and buying avoided CO_2 , SO_x and NO_x emissions and other renewable energy benefits through, for example, what are called renewable energy credits (RECs).² Additional revenue streams from RECs and other policies can be accounted for in the financial analysis of PV system benefits, which in most cases will greatly improve the economic feasibility of a PV investment. In particular, the emergence of RECs into the economics of renewable energy technologies can have a significant impact in the utilization of PV technology.

² CEEP has been able to demonstrate these potential savings/benefits of PV systems under different configurations and policy options in several case studies, both within the US (including Delaware) and internationally (see Byrne et al, 1999, 2003, 2004, 2005a & b and 2006; Letendre et al, 1998; Rickerson et al, 2005, 2006a & b, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011).

PV Planner Data Input Worksheets

The following provides an overview of the various 'worksheets' in *PV Planner* that a user will use to enter data in order to perform analyses of PV building-integrated applications.

After initiating the software, users can create a new *PV Planner* project file by selecting *File* > *New Project* from the main menu. Users also can load an existing *PV Planner* Project by selecting *File* > *Open Project* to continue their analysis.



Figure 3. PV Planner Introduction Screen

Each project file can have multiple cases. In a newly created project file, a user needs to click on the *Create New Case* button. With an existing project file, already containing cases from the previous session, a user can load an existing case by selecting it from the list and clicking the *Load Selected Case* button.

C:\Users\Lado\Desktop\PV Planner Exercise.pvp			
	Creat	e New Project	Open Existing Project
Case Name	Location	System Size kW Tir	ne Modified
5			
Create New Case 🙃 Load S	Selected Case 🖳 Delete Se	elected Case 😣	Close

Figure 4. Creating New Case

C	C:\Users\Lado\Desktop\PV Planner Exercise.pvp					
			Create New Project	Open Existing Project	6	
	Case Name	Location	System Size kW	Time Modified		
	Ocase 1 Non-Dispatchable Commercial Building	Wilmington	50.00	05/20/2011 11:55:18 AM		
	Case 2 Non-Dispatchable Residential Building	Wilmington	5.00	05/20/2011 11:52:44 AM		
	Case 3 Dispatchable Commercial Building	Wilmington	50.00	05/20/2011 11:54:33 AM		
	Case 4 Utility Scale PV	wiimington	2000.00	05/20/201111:54:02 AM		
	Create New Case 🕼 Load Selected Case	Del	ete Selected Case 🛛 🛛	Close		

Figure 5. Loading Existing Case

3. Case Information

The **Case Information** interface is used to enter basic information on the project to be analyzed by *PV Planner*, including a user-defined project name and the project's location. The program has a database of solar radiation data for most cities within the United States (over 1,000 locations) and many countries around the world from which the user can choose (e.g., 270 locations in China). If users have their own solar radiation records, they can enter this data instead. For the U.S., the program's database uses the Typical Meteorological Year version 3 (TMY3) weather data developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (U.S.).

PV Planner	FFFLAMMER	? <mark>×</mark>
	CASE INFORMATION	CASE INFORMATION
		APPLICATION TYPE
Case Na	me Case 1 Non-Dispatchable Commercial Building	SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
Location		SYSTEM COSTS
	USA 💿 China 🔿 Other 🔿	O&M COSTS
State	City with the	FINANCIAL INPUTS
State	Delaware - City Wilmington -	POLICY
Meteorol	poical Data	LOAD DATA
Hourly	Data from TMY Average Monthly Data (US	RATE DATA
Databa	se (US and China only) China and Other Countries)	RESULTS
		CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
Cop	yright © 2008 Center for Energy and Environmental Policy 🦛	POWER DISPATCH
		HELP
Pre	vious Next Start Analysis	Close

Figure 6. Case Information Interface

4. Application Type

At this interface, the user enters information on the type of project being analyzed. The initial option available is a PV system installed either on a commercial or residential building. Alternatively, a project may exclusively involve a "Sale to Grid Only" format in which the electricity generated from the PV system is sold directly to a utility (pricing for this application is set in the Policy Interface – see p. 16 for details). A third option is the Hybrid application, which is a combination of Sale to the Building and Sale to the Grid options.

PV Planner	? ×
APPLICATION TYPE	CASE INFORMATION
Building Type	APPLICATION TYPE
Commercial Building Residential Building	SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
	SYSTEM COSTS
	O&M COSTS
Sale to Grid Only	FINANCIAL INPUTS
Sale to Grid Only (See Policy Button)	POLICY
	LOAD DATA
	RATE DATA
Hybrid Application	RESULTS
Sales to Sale to Grid Only	CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
Building (%) 100 (See Policy Button)	POWER DISPATCH
	HELP
Previous Next Start Analysis	Close

Figure 7. Application Type Interface

5. System Configuration

At the **System Configuration** interface, the user chooses the size, basic purpose and other technical features of the PV system. Users begin by choosing whether they want a system that is configured to provide energy only (no storage and the electricity produced is used immediately) or whether the system will provide dispatchable peak shaving (battery storage allows for the dispatching of energy when needed – see p. 12). The "Energy Only" configuration is illustrated below.

PV Planner	? ×
SYSTEM CONFIGURATION	CASE INFORMATION
Energy Only	APPLICATION TYPE
PV Array Configuration	SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
PV Module Type Mono-Crystalline PV Module Efficiency (%)	SYSTEM COSTS
PV Array Bated PV Array Annual	O&M COSTS
Power (kWp) 50 Area (m ²) 357.14 Derformance 0.5	FINANCIAL INPUTS
Slope of Array (°) Auto 25 Direction South 180	POLICY
Balance of System Configuration	LOAD DATA
Inverter (kW) Auto - 50 Inverter Efficiency (%) 95	RATE DATA
Additional Power Derate Factor (%) 90	RESULTS
	CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
	POWER DISPATCH
	HELP
Previous Next Start Analysis	Close

Figure 8. System Configuration Interface without Storage

For either an "Energy Only" (see above) or "Energy & Storage" (see below) configuration, the user also decides which type of PV module to use, the size of the array (in either area – m^2 – or electrical peak capacity – W_p), the method used to mount the array, and whether it is a rooftop or wall-mounted system (the user-indicated slope of the array determines this).

For your inverter efficiency, please refer to the California Energy Commission (CEC) website: (http://www.gosolarcalifornia.org/equipment/inverters.php) to determine your specific weighted average inverter efficiency as entered into *PV Planner*. In contrast to peak efficiency, this value is an average efficiency and is a better representation of a grid-tied inverter's operating profile.

Another important consideration is the PV annual performance degradation factor that ranges from 0.3% – 0.8% (see NRC, 2010: 21), *PV Planner* by default uses 0.5%. Other de-rating factors are discussed in their report and should be accounted for accordingly.

The user can also decide if PV panels can be mounted in a manner that reduces the need for roofing or other building materials (in this case, architectural material displacement costs are calculated).

PV Planner	? ×
SYSTEM CONFIGURATION	CASE INFORMATION
Energy & Storage	APPLICATION TYPE
PV Array Configuration	SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
PV Module Type Mono-Crystalline PV Module Efficiency (%)	SYSTEM COSTS
PV Array Bated PV Array Annual	O&M COSTS
Power (kWp) 50 Area (m ²) 357.14 Degradation (%)	FINANCIAL INPUTS
Slope of Array (°) Auto 25 Direction South 180	POLICY
Balance of System Configuration	LOAD DATA
Inverter (kW) Auto • 63.13 Inverter Efficiency (%) 95	RATE DATA
Additional Power Derate Factor (%) 90 Charger Efficiency (%) 95	RESULTS
Battery (kWh) Auto - 38.59 Battery Efficiency (%) 85	CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
Charge Scheme System only Maximum Depth of Discharge (%) 80	POWER DISPATCH
	HELP
Previous Next Start Analysis	Close

Figure 9. System Configuration Interface with Storage

If the user wishes to have reliable peak-shaving capability built into the system, battery storage should be added. This "dispatchable" (i.e., "Energy & Storage") configuration allows the PV system to accumulate solar energy and release it as the building approaches its peak demand for electricity. *PV Planner* has an algorithm included in the software to determine the battery size that will maximize peak-shaving based on maximum daily solar energy available in each month. The size and efficiency of the battery storage system are set by the user (which includes an "Auto" choice for battery size that uses *PV Planner's* calculator). The battery bank can be charged in one of two ways: via the PV array only (click "Charge Scheme: System only");

or electric energy can be drawn from the grid during off-peak hours (click "Charge Scheme: Off Peak"). The user also decides whether to dispatch the solar energy in order to maximize bill savings from demand or energy charges (see the Rate Data interface on pp. 20). Utility demand charges are typically assessed only for commercial buildings.

If battery storage is included in the configuration of the PV system, the user can withdraw energy during electrical grid outages or 'blackouts.' The user can request **PV Planner** to calculate emergency power benefits. In this scenario, the program calculates benefits equal to the initial costs of inverters and batteries included in the configuration.

PV installations can be part of the framework or façade of a building and when in this configuration it is referred to as building integrated PV (BIPV). A user can input the cost for the architectural materials that will be displaced with the use of BIPV in dollars per meters squared $(\$/m^2)$ (see the Table below for typical building material costs).

Building material	Cost per square meter, \$/m ²		
Concrete or terracotta roof tile/battens	15-25		
Colourbond decking	30		
Faced brick cavity wall	100		
Pre-cast concrete panels	150		
Window walling	400>		
Curtain walling	500-800		
Polished stone (marble, granite)	2,400-2,800		

Source: Prasad and Snow, 2005.

6. System Costs

At the **System Costs** interface, the user enters the system's capital costs. These are divided into three types: (i) the cost of the photovoltaic array (modules plus supporting structure); (ii) the balance of system (BOS) costs, which are associated with the inverters, batteries and any other electrical equipment used; and (iii) other costs such as overhead (e.g., labor and transportation), contingencies and miscellaneous (e.g., legal fees and permits) expenses.

PV Pla	anner		- V I	- MININ	EA	? <mark>×</mark>
		S`	CASE INFORMATION			
		Category	Unit Cost	Total Cost (\$)	Cost Fraction (%)	APPLICATION TYPE
		PV Module (\$/kWp)	2000	100000.00	40.95	SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
	PV	Module Support Structure (\$/m ²)	35	12499.90	5.12	SYSTEM COSTS
-		Inverter (\$/kW AC)	700	25000.00	14.00	O&M COSTS
		Battery (\$/kWh)	/00	35000.00	14.33	FINANCIAL INPUTS
E	BOS	Other Electrical	0	0.00	0.00	POLICY
		Equipment (\$)	25000	25000.00	10.24	LOAD DATA
		Overhead (\$)	50000	50000.00	20.48	RATE DATA
0	THER	Contingencies (%)	3	6675.00	2.73	RESULTS
		Miscellaneous (\$)	15000	15000.00	6.14	CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
			POWER DISPATCH			
			HELP			
	Previous Next Start Analysis					Close

Figure 10. System Costs Interface

7. O&M Costs

At the **O&M Costs** interface, the user decides the frequency of replacement of components, and maintenance costs associated with the PV system, as well as escalation rates for these items. O&M costs are divided into those associated with the maintenance of the PV array³, those associated with the replacement of inverters and batteries, and insurance.⁴ Costs are also assigned to the inspection of and periodic adjustments to the system. The user is expected to enter the frequency (in years) of maintenance tasks. The Recurring O&M Cost, the Net Present Value (NPV) and Cost Fractions of the various cost items are calculated for the user.

³ Typically this cost is around \$20 per kW installed (see NREL, 2011)

⁴ Annual insurance cost is around 0.25% of Installed Project Cost. Insurance Cost might be as high as 0.5% in areas where extreme weather events are likely (e.g., hurricanes in Florida, earthquakes is California, high-winds in Colorado) (NREL, 2010).

PV Planner						
C	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COSTS					
	Unit	Recurring O&M Cost		Present Value	Cost Fraction	APPLICATION TYPE
		(\$)	(years)	(3)	(%)	SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
Maintenance (\$)	1000	1000	1	10819.38	32.91	SYSTEM COSTS
						O&M COSTS
Inverter Replacement	600	30000.00	13	11241.35	34 19	FINANCIAL INPUTS
(\$/kW AC)		00000.00			01.10	POLICY
Replacement	0	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	LOAD DATA
(\$/kWh)						RATE DATA
Insurance (\$)	1000	1000		10010.00	22.01	RESULTS
	1000	1000		10819.38	32.91	CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
Escalation	Escalation 2 32880 11 100 00				100 00	POWER DISPATCH
Rate (%)	Rate (%)					HELP
Previous Next Start Analysis					Close	

Figure 11. Operation and Maintenance Costs Interface

8. Financial Inputs

The **Financial Inputs** interface asks users to decide how the PV system will be financed and how its purchase will affect income. At this interface, the user also determines the length of the project evaluation period.

The user is required to enter information related to the project life, discount rate, loan attributes, and tax related variables. Discount rates are set by the user (with discount rate defaults suggested for commercial, governmental and socially-oriented projects). Information is requested on the characteristics of the loan being used to finance the project (if necessary), as well as tax information (income tax rate, tax depreciation, etc.). The user chooses a depreciation method (e.g., Straight line, Double Declining Balance, MACRS 5y, etc.) and the level of depreciation that is applied to the capital equipment. The user also indicates if bill savings generated by a PV system are taxable. (Usually, bill savings for PV systems on commercial buildings are subject to state and federal income tax⁵; while savings from residential systems ordinarily are not taxable).

PV Planner	? 🔀
FINANCIAL INPUTS	CASE INFORMATION
Customer Financial Input	APPLICATION TYPE
Customer Discount Rate (%) User Specified • 10 Period (Years) 25	SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
	SYSTEM COSTS
Loan Characteristics Loan 1 Loan 2 Loan Interest is Tax Deductible	O&M COSTS
Debt Ratio (%) 70 0 Tax Rate Relevant Tax Rate on	FINANCIAL INPUTS
Loan Period (Years) 10 Equipment (%) 0	POLICY
Starting Year for the 2nd Loan 13	LOAD DATA
Depreciation	RATE DATA
Tax Depreciation Method Capital Equipment Value 85 Subject to Depreciation (%)	RESULTS
MACRS 5 Years Bonus Depreciation (%) 0	CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
Tax Treatment for Energy & Demand Bill Savings	POWER DISPATCH
Avoided Electricity Costs (Energy & Demand Bill Savings) are Taxable	HELP
Previous Next Start Analysis	Close

Figure 12. Financial Inputs Interface

9. Policy

The **Policy** interface is built to address many of the incentives and benefits offered by government policy for the installation of PV systems. The user inputs data that reflects the existing or projected energy policies within the project service territory. Policies can include

⁵ *PV Planner* uses combined state and federal tax rate for its input, which is derived by following method: Combined Income Tax Rate= State Tax Rate + (1-State Tax rate)*(Federal Tax Rate) (see NREL, 1995: C-2). For Tax rates in different states refer to Tax Foundation, 2010. An additional criterion to consider is if depreciation is the same for Federal taxes compared to State taxes. Federal tax depreciation allows for MARCS-5, and nearly all states use MARCS-5 under State depreciation. As of 2011 exceptions include California, Michigan, and Washington (Tax Foundation, 2010).

rebates, investment tax credits, renewable energy credits (RECs), and special prices for the sale of PV-generated electricity to the grid (including net metering and production incentives such as feed-in tariffs). For all government-based incentives and benefits, the user is asked to indicate if they are subject to tax.

PV Planner	? ×
POLICY	CASE INFORMATION
Rebate on Initial Capital Costs	APPLICATION TYPE
System Cost (%) Cost (%) Taxable	SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
Investment Tax Credit % of Installed Capital Cost (a) 30 Monetary Value (\$) 73252.47	SYSTEM COSTS
Renewable Energy Credits	O&M COSTS
1st Year Price of Escalation Duration 10 Taxable V BECs (\$/MWb) 250 Bate (%) 0 (Years) 10 Taxable V	FINANCIAL INPUTS
11th Year Price of 50 Escalation 0 Duration 10 Taxable	POLICY
RECs (\$/MWh) CO Rate (%) (Years) TO Takable E	LOAD DATA
Net Metering Taxable	RATE DATA
Production Incentive (¢/KWh) Escalation Duration	RESULTS
(e.g., Feed-in Tariff) 0 Rate (%) (Years) 0	CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
Emission Reduction Benefit Analysis	POWER DISPATCH
	HELP
Previous Next Start Analysis	Close

Figure 13. Policy Interface

An important policy component is related to the reduction in air pollution (e.g., SO_x and NO_x emissions) and Carbon Dioxide (CO_2) emissions through the use of solar energy. The user can choose to conduct an Emission Reduction Benefit Analysis, which determines the environmental benefits from displacing a part of the energy mix (e.g., use of coal, oil, natural gas, etc.) and associated air emissions. When the user selects this option, a new screen appears (see the interface below) in which relevant pollution information is entered.

		Average Heat	SOv Content	NOx Content	CO2 Content			
Source	Capacity Mix (%)	Rate (BTU/kWh)	(g/MBTU)	(g/MBTU)	(g/MBTU)			
Coal	40.00	11,500.00	3.40	0.80	202.00			
# 2 Oil	30.00	9,800.00	1.42	0.50	165.00			
# 6 Oil	10.00	9,800.00	1.42	0.50	165.00			
Gas	10.00	7,800.00	0.00	0.30	117.00			
Nuclear	5.00	10,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Hydro	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Imported	5.00	10,256.00	1.12	0.60	180.00			
Environmental Ber	nefit Input		- Emissions Reduction	on Benefits in NPV (\$)			
Source	Emission Cost (\$/Metric Ton)	Cost Escalation (%)	SOx	3015	5.68			
SOx	230.00	0.00	NOx	(C).00			
NOx	0.00	0.00	CO2	12710).74			
CO2 12.00 0.00 Total 15726.41								

Figure 14. Emissions Analysis Interface

Users provide information related to the generation mix of their service area, the average heat rate of the fuels used by power plants in their area (default values are suggested), and their respective SO_x , NO_x and CO_2 (again, default values are suggested). An emission cost for each pollutant is entered, which can be obtained from current market prices for tradeable emission permits. The value of reduced emissions is then calculated for the user by **PV Planner**.

10. Load Data

The **Load Data** interface allows the user to enter electricity load information for the type of building that will receive energy services from the PV system. Many options are available to the user with typical building load profiles already loaded into *PV Planner* for homes, office buildings, restaurants, stores, etc. The user adjusts these profiles by entering the typical annual consumption or floor area of a specific building. Users can also choose to enter their own hourly load data if available or monthly energy demand (in kW) and usage levels (in kWh) from billing statements. In the latter case, *PV Planner* will populate the building load matrix for the user based on the monthly information it is provided.

In addition, the user indicates if the building utilizes electricity for heating. In this instance, the user selects the relevant electric heating technology (standard heat pump, high efficiency heat pump and ground-coupled heat pump and resistance heating). Similarly, the user chooses the "Electric Cooling" option, if it is appropriate.

PV Pla	anner	PVP	LAUNER	? ×			
		LOAD DATA	Ą	CASE INFORMATION			
Cł	hoose Load Profile and	APPLICATION TYPE					
		SYSTEM CONFIGURATION					
	Load Profile		Electric Heating				
	Residential	Electric Heating					
	Hotel			FINANCIAL INPUTS			
	Retail Store Restaurant	Electric Cooling 🗵	Standard	POLICY			
	Fast Food			LOAD DATA			
	Large Office	Typical Annual Consur	mption (kWh) 572914	RATE DATA			
	Bank						
		Hou	rly Load Data	CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR			
		Mont	thu Load Data	POWER DISPATCH			
		HELP					
	Previous	Next	Start Analysis	Close			

Figure 15. Load Data Interface

Monthly Load Profile	onthly Load Profile								Contract of the local division of the local			×
Electricity Demand	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
Usage (kWh)	14,400.00	13,520.00	11,920.00	11,880.00	13,880.00	16,080.00	18,800.00	17,920.00	16,960.00	13,280.00	12,520.00	12,480.00
Maximum Demand (kW)	30.80	31.20	30.80	32.80	33.20	43.20	47.20	47.20	42.00	36.80	32.00	31.20
					Clo	ose						

Figure 16. Monthly Load Profile Interface

Hourly Load	d Profile	-	-				-	-	_	-		X
Hour	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
1	40.28	38.77	37.52	34.82	32.14	33.90	37.38	35.73	33.20	30.74	33.45	37.56
2	39.42	37.93	36.65	33.81	31.02	33.15	36.81	35.02	32.04	29.22	32.13	36.32
3	39.01	37.55	36.34	33.33	30.77	33.30	36.29	34.53	31.60	28.75	32.01	35.99
4	38.96	37.40	36.30	33.29	30.60	33.23	36.46	34.65	31.52	28.62	31.71	35.67
5	40.22	38.59	37.90	33.97	30.84	33.64	39.69	37.79	32.76	29.39	32.56	36.49
6	46.22	44.19	43.55	38.86	37.15	40.80	50.65	47.74	38.13	33.49	36.68	40.87
7	58.33	56.42	54.97	51.84	53.62	59.41	70.02	67.92	55.30	46.96	47.47	52.31
8	70.66	68.97	67.10	64.59	69.99	77.96	89.25	88.72	73.55	61.68	59.51	64.63
9	83.36	81.58	80.01	78.74	86.07	95.26	107.01	104.88	89.19	75.95	73.60	79.56
10	90.15	88.07	86.65	86.05	95.75	106.06	117.45	115.04	99.61	85.00	80.22	86.77
11	91.10	88.98	87.70	87.46	98.74	109.26	119.95	118.94	103.69	88.15	81.58	88.12
12	90.81	89.06	87.57	87.96	100.40	110.97	120.87	120.64	105.72	89.98	81.88	88.36
13	89.94	88.10	86.61	87.50	100.37	111.30	120.56	120.50	105.79	89.99	81.42	87.82
14	89.96	88.03	86.70	87.75	100.99	112.13	121.51	120.87	106.89	90.59	81.16	87.48
15	89.13	87.42	86.07	87.37	100.92	112.65	121.38	120.97	107.16	90.61	80.49	86.68
16	87.35	85.77	84.15	85.88	100.18	111.84	119.70	119.28	105.95	89.43	78.97	84.97
17	82.61	80.93	79.37	81.93	95.68	106.46	113.71	113.01	101.24	85.47	74.71	80.77
18	70.79	69.42	67.87	70.02	80.92	90.23	95.73	94.80	84.19	71.26	64.42	69.66
19	61.16	60.01	58.79	58.72	63.81	69.45	74.20	72.15	65.32	56.87	54.60	59.52
20	56.50	55.21	53.87	53.51	56.12	60.56	65.13	63.41	58.02	50.99	50.02	54.74
21	51.90	50.69	49.08	48.17	49.15	53.01	57.20	55.48	50.88	45.27	45.50	49.94
22	46.67	45.34	44.09	43.02	42.84	46.00	48.95	47.70	43.85	39.47	40.37	44.63
23	43.07	41.81	40.49	38.57	37.81	40.50	43.85	42.90	39.73	35.49	36.76	40.80
24	41.69	40.33	39.05	36.48	35.43	37.91	41.04	40.11	37.36	33.86	34.86	39.05
			Mod	lify				Close	,			

Figure 17. Hourly Load Profile Interface

If a new user decides to enter specific building load data, the above table must be completed. It is possible to copy and paste data from an Excel data sheet to *PV Planner*.

11. Rate Data

The **Rate Data** interface is used to input prices for electricity. Electricity rate charges usually have two components for commercial and industrial users: an energy charge which sets the kWh price used each month; and a demand charge, based on monthly pulse readings of the kW connected loads. Residential ratepayers usually pay an energy charge only. The demand charge (or power charge) is based on maximum monthly kilowatt (kW) load; whereas the energy charge prices electricity consumption per kilowatt hour (kWh) used.

V Planner							
	RA	TE DATA		CASE INFORMATION			
Electricity Rate	Structure			APPLICATION TYPE			
	Energy Charge De	mand Charge 🗵		SYSTEM CONFIGURATION			
E Same	12.00 - (-11.00)	- (¢/I.)AD	Advanced Options	SYSTEM COSTS			
Dec-Jan	12.00 12.00	0.00	Seasonal Rates	O&M COSTS			
Feb-Mar	12.00	0.00		FINANCIAL INPUTS			
Apr-May	12.00	0.00	POLICY				
Jun-Jul	12.00	0.00	1	LOAD DATA			
Oct-Nov	12.00	0.00		RATE DATA			
				RESULTS			
				CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR			
		0		POWER DISPATCH			
Annual Esca	alation Rate (%)	2		HELP			
Prev	rious	Next	Start Analysis	Close			

Figure 18. Simplified Rate Data Entry Interface

In some instances, energy and demand charges may vary during the year, with higher seasonal rates (e.g., for summer consumption) being applied. If this situation applies, the user is taken to a screen where hourly electricity rates are entered by time of day and month (see the Time of Use Rate Data interface below). The user also decides the likely annual rate of increase in electricity prices (Annual Escalation Rate).

P	PV Planner									
			CASE INFORMATION							
	Month	Start (1)	End (1)	\$/kW	c/kWh	Start (2)	End (2)	\$/kW	c/l	APPLICATION TYPE
L	1	0	24	0	12	0	0	0		SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
L	2	0	24	0	12	0	0	0		SYSTEM COSTS
	4	0	24	0	12	0	0	0		
	5	0	24	0	12	0	0	0		0&M COSTS
	6	0	24	0	12	0	0	0		FINANCIAL INPUTS
	7	0	24	0	12	0	0	0		POLICY
	8	0	24	0	12	0	0 0 0			
	9	0	24	0	12	0	0	0	0	LOAD DATA
L	10	0	24	0	12	0	0	0		RATE DATA
L	12	0	24	0	12	0	0	0		RESULTS
	•								F	CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
Ľ	Annual	Escalation	Rate (%)		2 Bac	ek to Simpli	ified Rate F	Intry Mode		POWER DISPATCH
	Annual	LocalatiOII	nate (70)			ik to Simpli				HELP
	Previous Next Start Analysis Close						Close			

Figure 19. Time of Use Rate Data Interface

12. Results

The **Results** interface reports findings for the project entered by the user into *PV Planner* via a scrollable window. Users are given summaries related to the technical aspects of the PV system such as the amount of electricity that is generated, the capacity of the PV array, battery and inverter and the efficiency of the system. A summary is given of the financial inputs (e.g., initial capital costs and rebates) together with the financial performance of the system. Net Present Value, Benefit Cost Ratio, Payback Year and the Levelized Cost of Electricity for the system are given as measures of financial performance. In addition, PV Planner solves for minimum LCOE and PPA rates required to achieve the user specified return on equity (i.e., discount rate) under different policy and economic scenarios.⁶ Likewise PV Planer also reports

⁶ For a detailed review of LCOE calculation methodology consult NREL, 1995: 47-51 and the subsequent discussion on understanding residential and commercial results.

a minimum SREC price for meeting the similar result. Users can print, save or have the results sent to an Adobe Acrobat or a MS Word file.

	PV Plann	ner					? ×
		1 of 12 🕨 🔰 🖾 P	age Width 🛛 👻	🕒 🐓 🔟 🖂 🖶			CASE INFORMATION
						ΠĤ	APPLICATION TYPE
							SYSTEM CONFIGURATION
		Case 1 Non-Dispatchable Com Evaluation Pariod: 25 Years	imercial Building	on: Wilmington Delaware US		=	SYSTEM COSTS
		Summary (Present Value at 1 Benefits	0.00 % Discount F	Rate)			O&M COSTS
		Demand Bill Saving:	\$0.00	Initial Net Capital Cost*	\$155,948.55		FINANCIAL INPUTS
ĺ		Energy Bill Saving. Energy Sale Revenue: Tax Deduction:	\$0.00 \$88,548.88	Tax on Bill Savings: Tax on Sales to Grid:	\$32,409.83 \$0.00	1	POLICY
		Emission Reduction Benefits: RECs:	\$0.00 \$107,334.95	Tax on Rebates & SRECs: Property Taxes:	\$42,933.98 \$0.00		LOAD DATA
		Total ^ includes Down Payment (I.e., Equity	\$276,908.40) Discounted Principle	Total and interest Payments for the initial Lo	\$264,172.48		RATE DATA
		Financial Performance Indica Net Present Value (NPV):	\$12,735.94	Levelized Cost of Electricity LCOE with Tax Deductions:	(LCOE) 17.28 c/kWh		RESULTS
		Internal Rate of Return (IRR): Payback Year on Inital Capital:	16.80 % 1: 8.88	LCOE with Policy Benefits: LCOE with (2.00 %) Esc.:	6.18 o/kWh 5.31 o/kWh		CASH FLOW / PAYBACK YEAR
		Payback Year on Equity: Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR):	2.67 1.05	PPA Break-Even Rate Yr 1: SREC Break-Even Price Yr 1	8.86 c/kWh 196.93\$/MWh		POWER DISPATCH
	•	Renewable Energy Generatio	n Analysis			+	HELP
		Previous	Ne	ext	Start Analysis		Close

Figure 20. Results Interface

Understanding Residential Results

When reviewing *PV Planner's* results, the resultant LCOE is presented under several scenarios, where NREL's 1995 report, "A manual for the economic evaluation of energy efficiency and Renewable energy Technologies" reviews the various LCOE calculation parameters. Residential installations "LCOE with Policy Benefits" is calculated with all of the PV and balance-of-system (BOS) costs discounted at the user specified rate and can be compared with the user's electric rate. If a homeowner buys a PV system, for example, using a home-equity loan instead of leasing it, the interest on this loan may be tax-deductible (a tax professional can make this determination), and thus the LCOE with Policy Benefits would reflect this condition (see NREL, 2009 for a discussion of various options and examples of the financial

calculations). PV Planner also reports the LCOE with rate escalation, which reflects an alternative scenario under which the first year of electricity cost is lower in exchange for an annual price increase over the project life time.⁷ LCOE with Tax deductions shows the kWh cost of PV generated electricity without the incentives entered in Policy interface.

Understanding Commercial Results

In the case of commercial users subject to income taxes, the electricity bill saving can be valued at: Electricity rate * (1-TaxRate_{eff}). Therefore, commercial evaluation of the LCOE requires considering the price per kWh both with and without taxes. NREL (1995) discusses this at length, where for instance if the commercial entity subject to a 40% of effective tax rate is paying \$0.14 per kWh for electricity, then because they are writing off this as a taxable expense, they are valuing their electricity costs at 0.14*(1 - 0.4)=0.084. Hence, this is the value to compare with the *PV Planner's* output "LCOE with Escalation." Alternately, \$0.14 can be compared the PPA Rate, also reported by *PV Planner*. The first year PPA breakeven price can be calculated by dividing the "LCOE with escalation" value by $(1 - TaxRate_{Eff})$, (i.e. the PPA price could be fixed or escalated at a user defined rate in Rate Date interface).

13. Cash Flow/Payback Year

The **Cash Flow/Payback Year** interface graphically displays the cash inflows and outflows during the life of the project, and presents these flows in four formats: nominal cash flow, discounted cash flow, cumulative cash flow and cumulative discounted cash flow. The user can click on individual graphs to obtain an enlarged version, which will also display the net present value (NPV), benefit-cost ratio (BCR) and payback year. The same date can also be obtained in a tabular form, by clicking the table button (shown here).

⁷ Because electricity bill savings are non-taxable for residential customers, the PPA Break Even Rate equals this value.



Figure 21. Cash Flow and Payback Year Interface

Bar graphs of Cash Flow details are also provided (see below). From them, the user can visually inspect the relative effects of positive sources of cash flows like bill savings, sales revenues (when some or all of the PV system's output is sold to the grid), tax incentives, emission reduction benefits and RECs (renewable energy credits – tradable market permits associated with state RPS, or Renewable Portfolio Standards legislation – see Kotas, 2001; and CEEP, 2005). Likewise, negative effects on cash flow can be attributed to loan down payment (i.e., equity), annual loan payments (includes principle and interest), taxes and O&M (operations and maintenance) costs. Results are presented in nominal as well as in discounted dollars.

Total Cash flow (see Figure 22) shows Initial Project costs before economic incentives (e.g., tax credits, and rebates) and financial leverage (i.e., Ioan). The figure also shows the impact of these positive cash inflows on reducing initial cost for the customer in year 0. Year 0 Equity-Based Cash flow includes these positive cash inflows and hence allows more clear depiction of cash flow after year 0.







Figure 23. Total Discounted Cash Flow



Figure 24. Equity-Based Cash Flow



Figure 25. Equity-Based Discounted Cash Flow



Figure 26. Cumulative and Annual Net Cash Flow



Figure 27. Discounted Cumulative and Annual Net Cash Flow







Figure 29. NPV vs Discount Rate

Figure 26 and Figure 27 demonstrate project net cash flow (i.e., net effect of positive and negative cash flows) for each year throughout the project life. The cumulative cash flows, depicted by solid blue lines, show the aggregate effect of net cash flow from the previous years (starting from year 0). The corresponding year when the cumulative cash flow value (i.e., blue line) becomes positive is the Payback Year on Equity. The alternative Payback cash flow, shown in Figure 28, depicts the number of years required to recover total project costs (including the initial project costs covered by loan). This chart can be accessed by clicking on the "Payback Year on Initial Capital" label framed by the black box. Clicking on the "Internal Rate of Return" label loads the NPV vs Discount Rate chart (see Figure 29). This chart shows the NPV values under different customer discount rates.

14. Power Dispatch

The Power Dispatch interface graphically displays the energy services of the PV system for each month. The graph is divided into areas that indicate periods where:

•	Power input from the grid	– Blue
•	Peak shaving from coincident output of the PV array only	– Green
•	Peak shaving using battery storage	– Red

Solar energy sold to the grid (if any) – Yellow

Power Dispatches can be displayed separately for each month or for all twelve months (see next page).







Figure 31. Power Dispatch and Load Profile for Selected Month

Example PV Planner Output

Case 3 Dispatchable Commercial Building

Evaluation Period: 25 Years Location: Wilmington, Delaware, US

Summary (Present Value at 10% Discount Rate)

Benefits		Costs	
Demand Bill Savings:	\$41,821.18	Initial Net Capital Cost:	\$164,214.83
Energy Bill Savings:	\$52,758.61	O&M Cost:	\$42,970.34
Energy Sale Revenue:	\$0.00	Tax on Bill Savings:	\$37,831.92
Tax Deduction:	\$93,200.72	Tax on Sales to Grid:	\$0.00
Emission Reduction Benefits:	\$0.00	Tax on Rebates & SRECs:	\$41,934.26
SRECs:	\$104,835.66	Property Taxes:	\$0.00
Total	\$292,616.16	Total	\$286,951.35

Financial Performance Indicators		Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE)			
Net Present Value (NPV):	\$5,664.82	LCOE with Tax Deductions:	20.11 c/kWh		
Internal Rate of Return (IRR):	12.05 %	LCOE with Policy Benefits:	9.01 c/kWh		
Payback Year on Initial Capital:	8.67	LCOE with (2.00%) Esc:	7.75 c/kWh		
Payback Year on Equity:	3.58	PPA Break-Even Rate Yr 1:	12.91 c/kWh		
Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR)	1.02	SREC Break-Even Price Yr 1:	225.83 \$/MWh		

Renewable Energy Generation Analysis

PV System Capacity:	50.00kW dc	Annual Tilted Insolation:	589,046kWh
Battery Capacity:	38.59kWh	System Generation (net of losses):	64,775kWh
Maximum Depth of Discharge:	80.00 %	Average Daily Generation:	177.47kWh
Inverter Capacity:	63.13kW dc	Peak Generation:	41.17kW ac
System Efficiency (w Temp. eff):	11.00 %	Generation per kWp:	1,295.50kWh
Capacity Factor:	14.79 %	Specific Yield:	181.4kWh/m ²
		Average Cell Temperature:	18.16C
Financial & Tax Inputs			
Avg. Income Tax Rate:	40.00 %	PV Array & Support Structure	
Avg. Property Tax Rate:	0.00 %	PV Array:	\$100,000.00
Tax Depreciation Method:	MACRS 5 Years	Support Structure:	\$12,499.90
Depreciation Duration:	5 Years	Capital Cost:	\$112,499.90
Capital Equipment Value Subject to Depreciation:	85.00 %	Rebate:	\$0.00
Customer Discount Rate:	10.00 %		
		Balance of System (BOS)	
Loan 1		Inverter:	\$44,188.08
Debt Ratio:	60.00 %	Battery Bank:	\$0.00
Loan Interest Rate:	7.00 %	Other Electrical Equipment:	\$25,000.00
Loan Period:	10 Years	Capital Cost:	\$69,188.08
Min. DSCR	1.25	Rebate:	\$0.00
			32

Loan 2		Project Administration	
Debt Ratio:	NA	Overhead:	\$50,000.00
Loan Interest Rate:	NA	Contingencies:	\$6,950.64
Loan Period:	NA	Miscellaneous:	\$15,000.00
		Capital Cost:	\$71,950.64
		Rebate:	\$0.00
Policy Summary			
Sales to Grid: Net Metering (See Ra	te Data)		
RECs:	250\$/MWh		
System Rebate:	0.00 %		
Investment Tax Credit:	30.00 %		

Capital Cost Summary (Nominal \$)

Estimated Initial Project Cost:	\$253,638.62
Total Rebate (one-time, 0th year):	\$0.00
Investment Tax credit (0th year):	\$76,091.59
Architectural Materials Avoidance:	\$0.00
Emergency Power Avoidance:	\$0.00
Initial Net Capital Cost:	\$177,547.03

First Year Result										
Month	Original	Original	Peak	Energy	Net	Reverse	Demand	Energy	Sales to	
	Demand	Energy	Shaving	Savings	System	Energy	Bill	Bill	Grid	
	(kW)	(kWh)	(kW)	(kWh)	Energy	Flow	Savings	Savings	(\$)	
					Gen.	(kWh)	(\$)	(\$)		
					(kWh)					
January	107.5	47,719	16.79	3,833	3,833	0	252	307	0	
February	105.1	42,016	20.43	4,516	4,516	0	307	361	0	
March	103.5	45,521	24.07	6,169	6,169	0	361	494	0	
April	103.8	43,309	24.70	6,177	6,177	0	370	494	0	
May	119.2	48,400	26.62	6,619	6,619	0	399	530	0	
June	132.9	51,569	28.92	6,775	6,775	0	434	542	0	
July	143.4	58,428	27.81	6,817	6,817	0	417	545	0	
August	142.7	57,436	26.95	6,346	6,346	0	404	508	0	
September	126.5	48,980	25.46	5,662	5,662	0	382	453	0	
October	106.9	43,624	21.61	5,078	5,078	0	324	406	0	
November	96.6	40,382	15.72	3,482	3,482	0	236	279	0	
December	104.3	45,530	14.76	3,300	3,300	0	221	264	0	
Total	1.392.4	572.914	273.85	64.775	64.775	0	4.108	5,182	0	

Total Cash Flow



Equity-Based Cash Flow



-60000 -80000 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

20000

-20000

-40000

0



Cumulative and Annual Net Cash Flow







January Load Profile







August Load Profile





September Load Profile



Selected CEEP Solar Electricity Policy Publications: 1983-2011

- Byrne, J. and L. Kurdgelashvili, 2011. "The Role of Policy in PV Industry Growth: Past, Present and Future." Chapter 2 in the *Handbook of Photovoltaic Science and Engineering*, Second Edition, Edited by A. Luque and S. Hegedus. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, New Jersey.
- Byrne, J., L. Kurdgelashvili, J. Couey, et al. 2010b. Sustainable Energy Management for the Four Campuses of the Delaware Technical and Community College. Newark, DE: Center for Energy and Environmental Policy.
- Byrne, J., K. Hughes, L. Kurdgelashvili. 2010a. "Solar Policies to Promote Energy Justice." A report of the Renewable Energy Applications for Delaware Yearly (READY) project. Newark, DE: Center for Energy and Environmental Policy.
- Byrne, J., L. Kurdgelashvili, M.V. Mathai, et al. 2010. World Solar Energy Review: Technology, Markets and Policies. Newark, DE: Center for Energy and Environmental Policy.
- Byrne, J., L. Kurdgelashvili, S. Hegedus, X. Zhang, R. Walker, M. Salisbury, R. Hromiko, and J.
 Schreiber. 2009. "Creating a Solar City: Determining the Potential of Solar Rooftop Systems in the City of Newark." A Renewable Energy Applications for Delaware Yearly (READY)
 Project. Newark, DE: Center for Energy and Environmental Policy.
- Byrne, J., YD Wang, J.M. Yu, A. Kumar, L. Kurdgelashvili, and W. Rickerson. 2008. "Sustainable Energy Utility Design: Options for the City of Seoul" Prepared for the Seoul Development Institute. Newark, DE: Center for Energy and Environmental Policy.
- Byrne, J., A. Zhou, B. Shen, K. Hughes. 2007. Evaluating the potential of small-scale renewable energy options to meet rural livelihoods needs: A GIS- and lifecycle cost-based assessment of Western China's options. Energy Policy, Vol. 35, No. 8 (August): 4391-4401.
- Byrne, J., D. Poponi, and S. Hegedus 2006b. Break-even Price Estimates for Residential PV
 Applications in OCED Countries with an Analysis of Prospective Cost Reductions. 2006.
 Energy Studies Review, Vol. 14, No. 1. Pp. 104-117

- Byrne, J., W. Rickerson, J. Tian and A. Borchers. 2006a. Integrating Photovoltaic Systems into Public Sector Performance Contracts. Newark, DE: Center for Energy and Environmental Policy (CEEP), University of Delaware.
- Byrne, J., G. Alleng, A. Zhou, S. Hegedus, R. Nigro, L. Kurdgelashvili, J. Schreiber, A. Sanoh, X.
 Zhang. 2006. *PV PLANNER*: A Design and Analysis Tool for Building Integrated Solar Electric
 Systems. A Renewable Energy Applications for Delaware Yearly (READY) Project. Newark,
 DE: Center for Energy and Environmental Policy.
- Byrne, J., L. Kurdgelashvili, A. Barnett, K. Hughes, and A. Thurlow. 2005a. "Beyond Oil: A Comparison of Projections of PV Generation and European and U.S. Domestic Oil Production." In D. Y. Goswami and K. Boer, eds., Advances in Solar Energy, Vol. 16. Pp. 35-70. Boulder, CO: American Solar Energy Society.
- Byrne, J., L. Glover, S. Hegedus, G. Van Wicklen, M. Weitz. 2005b. The Potential for Solar Electric Applications for Poultry Farms. Newark, DE: CEEP, University of Delaware. (Available online at http://ceep.udel.edu/energy/publications/READY_2005_PV%20Poultry_report_04-12-

05 paginated.pdf)

- Center for Energy and Environmental Policy (CEEP). 2005. "The Potential Economic Impacts of a Renewable Portfolio Standard in Delaware." Briefing Paper. 26 pp. Newark, DE: CEEP, University of Delaware. (Available online at http://ceep.udel.edu/energy/publications/2005_es_Delaware%20Senate_RPS%20briefing %20paper.pdf)
- Rickerson, W., H. Wong, J. Byrne, Y-D. Wang and S. Sasser. 2005. "Bracing for an Uncertain Energy Future: Renewable Energy and the US Electricity Industry." Risk Management Matters. Vol. 3, No. 1: 46-61. (Available online at http://ceep.udel.edu/publications/energysustainability/2005_es_renewables&risk.pdf)
- Byrne, J., L. Kurdgelashvili, D. Poponi and A. Barnett. 2004. "The potential of solar electric power for meeting future US energy needs: A comparison of projections of solar electric

energy generation and Arctic National Wildlife Refuge oil production." Energy Policy. Vol. 32, No. 2: 289-297.

- Byrne, J. and L. Kurdgelashvili. 2003. "The Potential of Solar Electric Power for Meeting Future European and U.S. Energy Needs: A Comparison of Projections of PV Generation and European and U.S. Domestic Oil Production." Proceedings of the ISES Solar World Congress 2003. Vol. 1 (Solar Energy and Society), paper O1 9.
- Byrne,, J., G. Alleng, A. Zhou. 2001a. "Using Economic Incentives to Accelerate Development of Green Technologies." 2001. With Gerard Alleng and Aiming Zhou. Proceedings of the Green Buildings Workshop. Shanghai, China. Environmental Market Solutions, Inc. (Washington, D.C.) and Pacific Energy Research Center, Tongji University (Shangahi, China) (July).
- Byrne, J., A. Zhou and G. Alleng. 2001b. "Economics of Building Integrated PV In China." Proceedings of the Green Buildings Workshop. Shanghai, China. Environmental Market Solutions, Inc. (Washington, D.C.) and Pacific Energy Research Center, Tongji University (Shanghai, China) (July). (Available online at http://ceep.udel.edu/publications/energy/publications/2001_energy_bipv_econ_china.pdf)
- Byrne, J., L. Agbemabiese, K-J. Boo, Y-D. Wang and G. Alleng. 2000. "An International Comparison of the Economics of Building Integrated PV in Different Resource, Pricing and Policy Environments: The Cases of the U.S., Japan and South Korea." Proceedings of the American Solar Energy Society Solar 2000 Conference, Madison, WI (June): 81-85. (Available online at http://ceen.udel.edu/publications/energy/publications/2000_energy_bipy_international

http://ceep.udel.edu/publications/energy/publications/2000_energy_bipv_international_c omparison.pdf)

Byrne, J., L. Agbemabiese, J. Kliesch, C. Hadjilambrinos, P. Eiffert and R. Nigro. 1999.
"Environmental Impacts of Building Integrated PV Applications in the State Public Buildings Sector." Proceedings of the American Solar Energy Society Solar 99 Conference, Portland, Maine (June): 425-429.

- Byrne, J., S. Letendre, L. Agbemabiese, D. Bouton, J. Kliesch and D. Aitken. 1998. "Photovoltaics as an Energy Services Technology: A Case Study of PV Sited at the Union of Concerned Scientists Headquarters." Proceedings of the American Solar Energy Society Solar 98 Conference, Albuquerque, NM (June 15-17): 131-136. (Available online at http://ceep.udel.edu/publications/energy/publications/1998_energy_pv_energy_service_t echnology_ucs.pdf)
- Letendre, S., J. Byrne, C. Weinberg and Y-D. Wang. 1998. "Commercializing Photovoltaics: The Importance of Capturing Distributed Benefits." Proceedings of the American Solar Energy Society Solar 98 Conference, Albuquerque, NM (June 15-17):231-237. (Available online at http://ceep.udel.edu/publications/energy/publications/1998_energy_distributed_benefits. pdf)
- Byrne, J., S. Letendre, L. Agbemabiese, D. Redlin and R. Nigro. 1997a. "Commercial Building Integrated Photovoltaics: Market and Policy Implications." Proceedings of the 26th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, Anaheim, CA (October): 1301-1304. (Available online at

http://ceep.udel.edu/publications/energy/publications/1997_energy_bipv_market_policy. pdf)

- Byrne, J., S. Letendre, Y-D. Wang, R. Nigro and W. Ferguson. 1997b. "Building Load Analysis of Dispatchable Peak-Shaving Photovoltaic Systems: A Regional Analysis of Technical and Economic Potential." Proceedings of the of the American Solar Energy Society Solar 97 Conference, Washington, D.C. (April 25-30): 147-152.
- Byrne, J., S. Letendre and Y-D. Wang. 1996a. "The Distributed Utility Concept: Toward a Sustainable Electric Utility Sector." 1996. With Proceedings of the ACEEE 1996 Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Vol. 7: 7.1-7.8.
- Byrne, J. S. Letendre, C. Govindarajalu, R. Nigro and Y-D. Wang. 1996b. "Evaluating the Economics of Photovoltaics in a Demand-Side Management Role." Energy Policy. Vol. 24, No.2 (February): 177-185. (Available online at

http://ceep.udel.edu/publications/energy/publications/1996_epolicy_economics%20of%2 0pv-dsm_byrne_letendre_chandra_ydw_nigro.pdf)

- Byrne, J., R. Nigro and W. Ferguson. 1996c. "The Performance and Economics of Dispatchable PV Peak Shaving Systems in Isolated Electric Utility Systems." Proceedings of the World Renewable Energy Congress (June).
- Byrne, J., R. Nigro and W. Ferguson. 1996d. "The Development of a Dispatchable PV Peak Shaving System." Proceedings of the First International Conference on Solar Electric Buildings (March).
- Byrne, J., Y-D. Wang and R. Nigro. 1995. "Photovoltaic Technology as a Dispatchable, Peak-Shaving Option." 1995. With Ralph Nigro and Young-Doo Wang. Public Utilities Fortnightly (Sept. 1). (Available online at http://ceep.udel.edu/publications/energy/publications/1995 energy pv peakshaving.pdf)
- Byrne, J. and C. Hadjilambrinos. 1994. "Photovoltaics for Demand-Side Management." Progress in Solar Energy Technologies and Applications: An Authoritative Review. Boulder CO: American Solar Energy Society. Pp. 22-23.
- Byrne, J., S. Letendre, R. Nigro, C. Govindarajalu, W. Wallace and Y-D. Wang. 1994a.
 "Photovoltaics for Demand-Side Management Utility Markets: A Utility/Customer
 Partnership Approach." IEEE Proceedings of the First World Conference on Photovoltaic
 Energy Conversion.
- Byrne, J., Y-D. Wang, S. Letendre, C. Govindarajalu, R. Nigro and W. Bottenberg. 1994b.
 "Deployment of a Dispatchable Photovoltaic System: Technical and Economic Results." IEEE
 Proceedings of the First World Conference on Photovoltaic Energy Conversion: 1200-1203.
- Byrne, J., Y-D. Wang and S. Hegedus. 1994c. "Photovoltaics as a Demand-Side Management Technology: An Analysis of Peak-Shaving and Direct Load Control Options." 1994. With Progress in Photovoltaics Vol. 2: 235-48.

- Byrne, J., S. Letendre, R. Nigro, and Y-D. Wang. 1994d. "PV-DSM as a Green Investment Strategy." Proceedings of the 5th NARUC-DOE National Conference on Integrated Resource Planning: 272-285.
- Byrne, J., S. Letendre and R. Nigro, 1994e. "Photovoltaics in High-Value, Utility Markets: A Demand-Side Management Strategy." Proceedings of the American Solar Energy Society Solar '94 Conference: 44-48.
- Byrne, J., Y-D. Wang, R. Nigro and S. Letendre. 1994f. "Photovoltaics in a Demand-Side Management Role." Proceedings of the ACEEE 1994 Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Vol. 2: 2.43-2.49.
- Byrne, J., Y-D. Wang, R. Nigro and C. Hadjilambrinos. 1993a. "Valuing Photovoltaic Technology as a Utility Demand-Side Management Application: Regulatory Issues." Proceedings of the NARUC-DOE Conference on Renewable Energy: 258-269.
- Byrne, J., Y-D. Wang, R. Nigro, and W. Bottenberg. 1993b. "Commercial Building Demand-Side Management Tools: Requirements for Dispatchable Photovoltaic Systems." Proceedings of the 23rd IEEE Photovoltaics Specialists Conference: 1140-1145.
- Byrne, J., Y-D. Wang, I. Han and K. Ham. 1993c. "Photovoltaic Technology as a Utility Demand-Side Management Option." Energex '93: Proceedings of the 5th International Energy Conference Vol. III: 119-133.
- Byrne, J. and R. Nigro. 1992. "Applying Photovoltaics as a Demand-Side Management Tool." Proceedings of the Delmarva Photovoltaics Conference. Wilmington, DE: Delmarva Power.
- Byrne, J., Y-D. Wang and R. Nigro. 1992. "The Role of Photovoltaics in Demand-Side
 Management: Policy and Industry Challenges." Proceedings of the 11th Photovoltaics
 Advanced Research and Development Project Review. Golden, CO: National Renewable
 Energy Laboratory.
- Byrne, J. and D. Rich. 1983. "The Solar Energy Transition as a Problem of Political Economy."
 1983. In D. Rich et al (eds.), The Solar Energy Transition: Implementation and Policy
 Implications. Pp. 163-186.

Additional References

- California Energy Commission, 2011. List of grid-tied inverter efficiencies, published by the California Energy Commission, Accessed February 2011. http://www.gosolarcalifornia.org/equipment/inverters.php
- Hoff, T., Perez, R. and Margolis, R., 2004. Increasing the Value of Customer-Owned PV Systems using Batteries. NREL Contract AAD-2-31904-03 Deliverable 2H and Contract NAD-1-318904-01 (3G).
- Kotas, J., 2001. Renewable Energy Credits: Another Option in your Renewable Energy Portfolio.
 Paper presented at Sixth National Green Power Marketing Conference: Opportunity in the
 Midst of Uncertainty, July 30 August 1, 2001, Portland, Oregon.
- Natural Resources Canada (NRC), 2010. "Uncertainty in Long-Term Photovoltaic Yield Predictions." Didier Thevenard, March 31, 2010; Report 2010-122 (RP-TEC) 411-IEARES.
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), 1995. "A Manual for the Economic Evaluation of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Technologies." Walter Short, Daniel J. Packey, and Thomas Holt, March 1995, NREL/TP-462-5173. Available at: http://www.nrel.gov/docs/legosti/old/5173.pdf
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), 2009. "Solar Leasing for Residential Photovoltaic Systems." Karlynn Cory, NREL/FS-6A2-43572, Revised April 2009; available at: http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy09osti/43572.pdf
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), 2010. "Insuring Solar Photovoltaics: Challenges and Possible Solutions." Speer, B., Mendelsohn, M., and Cory, K., Technical Report NREL/TP-6A2-46932
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), 2011. "Energy Technology Cost and Performance Data" available at: http://www.nrel.gov/analysis/tech_cost_oandm.html
- Prasad, D. and Snow, M., 2005. Designing with Solar Power: a Source Book for Building Integrated Photovoltaics (BIPV). IEA-PVPS Task 7.

- Stavy, M., 2002. Computing the Levelized Cost (B R¢/kWh [US ¢/kWh]) of Solar Electricity
 Generated at Grid Connected Photovoltaic (PV) Generating Plants. RIO 02 World Climate
 & Energy Event, January 6-11, 2002. Available at:
 http://www.rio02.com/proceedings/pdf/293_Stavy.pdf
- Tax Foundation, 2010. 2011 State Business Tax Climate Index. Padgitt, K. M. Available at: http://www.taxfoundation.org/research/show/22658.html

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER - The University of Delaware is committed to assuring equal opportunity to all persons and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, gender, religion, ancestry, national origin, sexual orientation, veteran status, age, or disability in its educational programs, activities, admissions, or employment practices as required by Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Sections 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Americans with Disabilities Act, Executive Orders 11246 and 11375, and other applicable statutes. Inquiries concerning title IX, Section 503 and 504 compliance, Executive Order 11246 and information regarding campus accessibility and Title VI should be referred to the Affirmative Action Director, 305 Hullihen Hall, 302-831-2835, 302-831-4552 (TDD).